BACKGROUND INFORMATION

In *Landsberg Erecta*, the gene that regulates shade avoidance is *Phytochrome B*

Phytochrome B regulates etiolation which is shown by elongated stems and smaller leaf area. To represent shade, we used red light. Red light has between 635-800 nanometers depending on close or far red light.





Figure 1: difference in leaf area is demonstrate d between the wildtype and mutant

Figure 2: Wavelength chart that shows the nanometers of different lights

Figure 3:

(Left) and

WT (Right)

in Close

Red Light

Mutant

OUR EXPERIMENT:

In our experiment we used 3 different types of light:

- 1. Far Red Light (800 nm)
- 2. Close Red Light/Red Film w/ White Light (700-635)
- 3. White Light



HYPOTHESIS:

If we place the mutation of *Landsberg Erecta*: *Phytochrome B* in red light, it will not etiolation like the wild type, meaning the mutation's leaves will be larger and the stem will be shorter.

PROCEDURE:



Figure 6: close red light (700-635 nm)(white light with red film) Planting containers with jiffy pods of wildtype and mutant seeds



Figure 5: far red light (800nm) setup with planting containers and jiffy pods with wildtype and mutant seeds

Experimental Design: Independent Variable: Wavelengths of Light (White Light with Red Film, Red Light and White Light) **Dependent Variables:**

- stem length (mm)
- leaf area (cm squared) measured using Image

Controls:

- amount/type of water
- fertilizer
- amount of light



Figure 4: White Light Planting containers with jiffy pods of wildtype and mutant seeds

5	1.25	
(cm^	1	
Area	0.75	
Leaf	0.5	
erage	0.25	
Ave	0	

Ave	rage St	em L
(mr	125	
em (n	100 ———	
ı of St	75	
-ength	50	
rage l	25 ———	
Ave	0	



Figure 7: Red light mutant (left) and wild type (right) growth halfway through experiment

Effect of the Mutation PhyB in Landsberg Erecta By: Emmie S., Ammarah H., Salmah A.



Figure 9: Shows difference in leaf area of wild type & mutant in both white and near red light.

Figure 11: Shows stem length difference between wild type & mutant in white light.

Figure 12: Shows the difference in stem length of wild type & mutant in near red light

demonstrating minimal to no

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to: **Chris J. Meyer**

Chris is a scientist who works with cellular biology and was our helpful mentor through Planting Science with our Arabidopsis. Karen Beardsley

Ms. Beardsley, our AP Seminar instructor, guided us to obtain the research and data needed for this experiment.

Figure 8: Rosette leaves, the leaves at the bottom which demonstrate photosynthesis the most.

Average Length of Stem Grown in Far Red Light (mm)

Day of Experiment

Average Length of Stem Grown in White Light with Red Film (mm)

 Average Stem Length of Wild Type

 Average Stem Length of Mutant

- Average Stem Length of Wild Туре
- Average Stem Length of Mutant

RESULTS

-In the close red light setup, the stem growth was longer on the wild type but the leaf area was smaller in comparison to the mutant.

-Both plants in far red light were unable to grow, as we expected.

- In White Light, the wild type stems were longer than the mutant, but not significantly. Also, the leaf area was larger.

Figure 13: Red Film mutant (left) and wild type (right)

Figure 14: White Light mutant (right) and wild type (left)

CONCLUSIONS

- Our experiment tested the effects different wavelengths of light have on the growth of Landsberg Erecta and mutation phy-5 in Phytochrome B.
- In Far Red Light: both the mutant and wild type were unable to grow as expected, and died.
- In Near Red Light *phy-5* does not etiolate whereas *Landsberg Erecta* does. The p-values is smaller than our chosen significance level, so there is enough evidence at the .05 significance level to conclude that there is a statistically significant difference between the means.
- In white light: the *Landsberg Erecta* grew more, but there is not much difference. The p-value is larger than our chosen significance level, so there is not sufficient evidence to suggest that the means are not the same. However, this doesn't matter as we predicted that there wouldn't be much difference. • Design Errors:

While measuring, we exposed the red light plants to white light temporarily which could have altered our data.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

"PolyAllele Detail." TAIR - About Arabidopsis, TAIR, 7 Feb 2007,www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/TairObject?id=115308&type =polyallele.

TAIR. "Locus Detail." Arabidopsis.org, 30 Nov. 2015, www.arabidopsis.org/servlets/TairObject?id=26548&type=locus. Accessed 27 Sept. 2018.

Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. "Chlorophyll." Encyclopædia Britannica, Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc., 6 Feb. 2018, www.britannica.com/science/chlorophyll.